



MAGNA ONLINE SEMINARS

Managing Expectations and Handling Difficult Students Online

Thursday, March 12, 2009

1:00 PM – 2:00 PM (Eastern)

12:00 PM – 1:00 PM (Central)

11:00 AM – 12:00 PM (Mountain)

10:00 AM – 11:00 AM (Pacific)

Presented by:

Dr. Susan Ko



Today's presenter:

Dr. Susan Ko has been executive director of the Center for Teaching and Learning. The Center is responsible for providing faculty development for more than 3,000 worldwide faculty, including administration of CTLA 201, the award-winning online teaching training course required for all UMUC faculty teaching online.

Dr. Ko holds a doctorate from Yale University in Chinese language and literature and previously spent more than ten years teaching world literature, Asian studies, and humanities in the California State University system. Her teaching experience included both traditional classroom-based programs as well as distance education. She also spent more than five years working in the area of faculty development in the for-profit education sector and as a consultant to develop online teaching training programs before coming to UMUC.

Dr. Ko is currently a collegiate associate faculty member at UMUC, teaching courses in Asian studies for the School of Undergraduate Studies as well as teaching in the Masters of Distance Education program of the Graduate School of Management & Technology.

Dr. Ko is co-author with Steve Rossen of a leading book on the subject of online teaching, widely adopted by faculty development programs nationwide, entitled *Teaching Online: A Practical Guide*. She has frequently given presentations and workshops on the subject of online teaching and learning at conferences over the past decade.



Want to ask the instructor a question?

If you'd like to ask the presenter a question at any time during today's live seminar, simply click on the "conversation bubble" icon, the second icon from the left on the top of your computer screen. A text box will open and you can type your question there. The moderator will read participant questions during selected breaks.



Need tech help?

Please visit: www.magnapubs.com/about/customer_service.html#Web_Seminars
or call Customer Service at (800) 433-0499

Thank you for participating in today's program.

Free Teaching and Learning E-Newsletter!

As a higher education professional, you struggle each day to manage competing priorities, many of which require an investment of either time or money. Here's your chance to take advantage of a valuable resource that not only saves you time, but money too—in fact, it's absolutely free.



Faculty Focus is an e-newsletter containing valuable information for faculty, academic deans, and department chairs from the publisher of *The Teaching Professor*, *Academic Leader*, *Online Classroom*, and other higher education newsletters.

If you're involved with teaching and learning on campus, you will not want to miss a single issue.

**Sign up at
www.facultyfocus.com**

FACULTY FOCUS



Upcoming Seminars:

Join us in the future for our other informative online seminars:

- April 7, 2009: **Digital Media: The Latest Trends, Technology & Standards**
- May 28, 2009: **How the Higher Education Act Affects Your Online Courses**

Please visit www.magnapubs.com for a complete list of Magna Online Seminars.



Magna Publications Corporate Overview

Magna Publications has been a valued knowledge and information resource within the higher education community for more than 30 years.

We publish seven national newsletters:


- *The Teaching Professor*
- *Academic Leader*
- *Magna's Campus Legal Briefing*
- *Recruitment and Retention in Higher Education*
- *Distance Education Report*
- *Online Classroom*
- *Student Affairs Leader*

In addition, we produce four national higher education conferences, several student leader weekend retreats, and numerous online seminars.

Additional information about Magna Publications is available at www.magnapubs.com.

Managing Expectations and Handling Difficult Students Online

**March 12, 2009
Online Seminar CD & Transcript**



Save 50% when you order this online seminar on CD or transcript before the expiration date below. This is a professional recording of the complete online seminar and is an excellent opportunity to:

- Catch something you may have missed
- Use as a staff training resource
- Listen to or read whenever and wherever it's convenient
- Listen to or read as many times as you wish
- Share with your colleagues
- Save 50%!
- Save shipping costs!

SAVE 50%
plus
FREE SHIPPING!

Special attendee prices:

- *CD ~~\$219~~ \$110
- Transcript ~~\$99~~ \$50
- CD/Transcript Package ~~\$269~~ \$135

* This CD contains an audio presentation with PowerPoint and can be viewed on any computer equipped with Windows Media Player.

ORDER FORM		
Name:		
Title/Department:		
Institution:		
Street Address:		
City:	State:	Zip:
Business Phone Number:	Fax:	
E-mail Address:		

PAYMENT INFORMATION		Mail to: Magna Publications, Inc. 2718 Dryden Drive Madison, WI 53704 or Fax to: 608-246-3597 Offer Expires May 12, 2009
<input type="checkbox"/> Bill Me		
<input type="checkbox"/> Mastercard (16 digits)	<input type="checkbox"/> VISA (13-16 digits)	
<input type="checkbox"/> American Express (15 digits)	<input type="checkbox"/> Discover (16 digits)	
Credit Card #:		
Card Expires:		
Total Payment: \$		
Signature:		

MONEY-BACK GUARANTEE: If you are not completely satisfied with your online seminar CD or transcript you may return it for a full refund. All requests must be received within 30 days after date of purchase.

Managing Expectations and Handling Difficult Students Online



March 12, 2009
Dr. Susan Ko, Executive Director
Center for Teaching and Learning
University of Maryland University College

Today's Agenda



- Managing student expectations
- Working with “difficult students”
- Your own case studies
- Q & A

Poll



- Have you taught:
- A. Completely online
 - B. Blended (face-to-face and online elements required) classes
 - C. Web-enhanced/facilitated classes
 - D. None of the above

Managing Student Expectations



- Important in traditional classes, but even more so for online and blended courses
- Even well-structured, academically rigorous online classes can be diminished in effectiveness through a lack of expectations

Managing Student Expectations



Main types of expectations to be managed:

- Instructor roles and responsibilities
- Communication channels and protocols
- Student workload
- Participation and/or attendance (blended)
- Grading criteria

Institutional Role in Setting Expectations



Before class starts

- Typically through registration materials, orientation, class demos, student handbook, pre-posted class syllabi
- If institution doesn't provide resources, faculty will need to compensate

Faculty Initiated Methods Pre-Class or First Week



- Email students before class starts
- Syllabus, schedule and learning objectives
- Introductory materials or for blended, first class meeting orientation
- Short video or audio introduction
- Provide references for institutional resources

Instructor's Roles and Responsiveness



- How often can students expect to see you in the online classroom?
- Are you providing lectures or commentary and how do these relate to other course materials?
- How quickly will you respond to questions posted in classroom or personal email?


Misconceptions and Concerns Regarding Roles



- Online instructors have to be online 24 hours a day or the opposite, just parachute in
- Online education is alienating, and it's difficult to form close ties online
- Techno-geeks make the best instructors



■ The lonely lecturer (for hybrid/blended courses):
If I put my lectures online, no one will come to class...



10
University of Maryland University College

Communication Protocols

■ Students need to know where to address different types of questions and comments – Personal email? Discussion forum? IM or other office hour modes?

■ Best to create:
Social space (CyberCafe); Introductions forum; Q&A space for questions about the class not tied to specific lesson; asynchronous discussion area

11
University of Maryland University College

Synchronous versus Asynchronous

■ Synchronous is real-time. Typical examples: Chatroom, Instant-message

■ Asynchronous – do not have to be present at the same time to communicate.

■ Can be a mix of synchronous and asynchronous when synchronous event can be archived and available later as resource for asynchronous access.

12
University of Maryland University College

Instructor Communications



- Tone or level of discourse should be comfortable for you
- Use announcements and weekly emails for:
 - upcoming due dates
 - student progress
 - clarifying common problems

Instructor Communications



- Provide space in asynchronous discussion for Q&A about class, aside from weekly content topics
- Use chat, IM for office hours and one-on-one consultation as appropriate

Student Workload



- Address issues related to the course level and complexity, prerequisite knowledge
- Estimate hours to spend each week online
- Analyze the pacing and note imbalances after first time teaching that class
- Clarify rules on due dates, late assignments

Participation and Attendance



- Establish rules by creating a rubric or listing criteria for weekly participation in online discussion forum.
- Clarify the level of discourse – informal versus posting of assignments
- Assignments of all types may be posted in the discussion area – count comments and questions concerning others' assignments toward participation

16



University of Maryland University College

Participation and Attendance



- For blended courses, participation online as well as face-to-face and attendance may be required
- Instructor facilitation is a key factor in setting the tone and frequency of participation

17



University of Maryland University College

Instructor Facilitation of Discussion



- Create most initial threads
- Post questions that require higher level thinking –
Compare: **a. *What does the author say about X?***
b. *What are the author's assumptions when he says X is good?*
- Respond to students with follow up questions
Compare: **a. *That's a good example of X***
b. *Describe other situations in which X might occur.*

18



University of Maryland University College

Small Group Activities



- Don't happen naturally online
- Pick the right number for the activity (more for discussion only, less for projects)
- Recognize individual effort and group contribution
- For asynchronous – allow time for each stage or task
- In hybrid, use face time for critical points in projects

19



University of Maryland University College

Grading Criteria



- Clarify turn-around time and state graded items and overall criteria for class in syllabus
- Use rubrics or detailed criteria for assignments
- When practical, give individual grade for some of small group projects and peer feedback
- Clarify any penalties for late assignments and whether extra credit is an option

20



University of Maryland University College

Questions?



21



University of Maryland University College

Working with “Difficult Students”



- Many problems same as in f2f class, but manifestation different, sometimes exacerbated by online format.
- Some students are “difficult” because of problems navigating online or combining online and f2f for blended classes; also second language issues
- Sometimes the “difficulty” resides with the instructor, not the student!

The following examples are adapted from Ko and Rossen, *Teaching Online: A Practical Guide* (2nd edition, Routledge).

22



University of Maryland University College

Noisy Students



Manifestations

- Students begin new topic threads while actually continuing the same conversation
- Talk endlessly on tangentially related issues
- May only reply to the instructor’s comments and ignore those of classmates

23



University of Maryland University College

Noisy Students



Solutions:

- Discreet personal note to student – treat it as a structural or technical problem
- Give them a bit of attention via personal email
- Firmly steer them back to the topic without disparaging

24



University of Maryland University College

Quiet Students



- May be “learning while lurking” – appraise by viewing written individual assignments
- Encourage with praise or questions
- Private email to disappearing students
- Explain the participation grade, design of course, and how to do well in the class
- Refer to support resources when appropriate

25

Disruptive Students



- Try to take over the class or overly dominate their small groups
- Undercut what you say rather than offer constructive criticism or sincere questions
- Use rude or abusive language toward others
- Make unreasonable demands

26

Types of Disruptive Students— *The Know-it-all*



- Action: Answers questions asked of instructor so as to contradict the instructor rather than add perspective or tells students to ignore some aspect of the curriculum.
“This theory we are being taught is outdated – suggest everyone read X theory instead.”
- *Instructor Response:* Don’t address the student by name but make a general statement to the class that “while there may be differences of opinion concerning this theory, the one being taught in this class is still accepted by most authorities and I would like you to understand it.”

27

The Mutineer



Student complains openly to classmates about the class and encourages others to complain as well or to try to convince the instructor to take a different action.

Instructor Response:

Private email to the student that acknowledges the complaint but explains intention

Clarification to the class about openness to taking up such issues via private email, confirming approach without sounding defensive

28

Falling Behind and Belligerent



Student barely participates, then suddenly reappears and expresses anger and frustration in the class forum

Instructor Response:

- In class forum, repeat directions and information, addressing entire class
- In private email, be supportive but focus on how the student can catch up.

29

Attacking Other Students



Student expresses opinion with abusive language

Instructor Response:

In classroom, remind everyone to base arguments on the issues and observe code of conduct.

In private email suggest that others find the language offensive and suggest private apology. Ask student to delete posting (if possible). If student is not responsive to this, alert administrator.

30

Other Types of Difficult Students



- The *Controller* – wants all assignments in advance, first and last word on everything
- The *Staller* – delays logging on, always has excuse not to do things on same time frame with others
- *Must-Have-an-A* – early on, declares has to get an A in the class

31

Some Overall Guidelines



- Save record of all communications
- Alert an administrator early if student is defiantly rude, persists in flaming behavior, or threatening
- Never respond on the same level as a demanding or rude student – use a formal, correct tone
- Other students also deserve your attention – don't get too caught up in one student's drama
- Err on the side of being understanding!

32

Strategies for Keeping Students Focused and on Task



- Reinforce due dates, schedules and timelines for achievement of objectives
- Post materials and conduct activities on a pre-set schedule
- Allow students to keep track of their own progress
- Students take cues from instructor's organization!
- Expectations set from very first communications!

33

Your Own Cases



34



University of Maryland University College

Questions?




35



University of Maryland University College

For more detail on these and related issues, see Ko and Rossen, *Teaching Online: A Practical Guide* now in reprint from Routledge



36



University of Maryland University College

Other Questions?



Feel free to contact me at sko@umuc.edu



Is Distance Ed Shrinking the Alumni Donor Pool?

In 2002, DER profiled the work of David Schejbal and Fay Lesht, then both of the University of Illinois at Champaign-Urbana, about their work studying the likelihood of distance education students becoming philanthropic donors to their universities. At the time, the concern was that these alumni would feel less connected to their institutions and therefore be less likely to make a donation. Therefore, the worry was that efforts to grow an institution's distance education program may be causing a long-term erosion of the university's donor pool.

In the time since Schejbal and Lesht's work, very little has been added to the understanding of the giving behaviors of non-traditional alumni. This is until the work recently completed by Fred Hurst, vice president for extended programs and dean of distance learning for Northern Arizona University. As part of the work for his doctoral dissertation, Hurst surveyed nearly 1,300 alumni of NAU, both non-traditional students who attended classes off campus (either by distance learning or in a classroom) and traditional alumni who attended classes on campus. The findings give greater clarity to the understanding of how and

why non-traditional alumni give.

Traditional vs. non-traditional donors

"This is pretty much seminal research," Hurst says, explaining that there has been little research done to understand the giving patterns of non-traditional alumni. This is a potentially serious knowledge gap for an institution

With non-traditional alumni, the desire to give is there; universities just need to find ways to channel the interest.

like NAU, which boasts a student body that is one-third off-campus, meaning that a significant number of its alumni may not conform to the giving patterns of traditional students. Many universities are in similar circumstances. It is important, Hurst says, to compare these non-traditional students to "those who have social memories of Old Main with

the snow falling."

Hurst set out to test several issues, posed in the research as hypotheses. The issues included:

- Relationship between traditional and non-traditional alumni and amount of giving
- Relationship between traditional and non-traditional alumni and frequency of giving
- Relationship between traditional and non-traditional alumni and participation in university events
- Relationship between traditional and non-traditional alumni, age at graduation, and giving
- Relationship between traditional and non-traditional alumni and giving interests.

The two populations in Hurst's study started out surprisingly equivalent. He found no significant difference in their age at graduation, nor in their level of involvement or affiliation with the university or their participation in sporting, alumni, academic, and miscellaneous events. Additionally, the two populations did not differ significantly in their frequency of donation to the university. This finding may come as a surprise to many, as it did to Hurst. "The original hypothesis was wrong; [I] thought that [non-traditional students] would give less because they were less connected. But they didn't seem to be less involved or affiliated," he says.

continued on page 2 →

in this issue

Do distance students make good donors?	Cover
Bird's-eye View: Revolutionary implications for educators	3
Students: Discipline in the online classroom	4
Marketing: Marketing opportunities in a recession	5
Assessment: Using e-mail surveys to improve programs	8

DISTANCE education

Report

President: William Haight
(billh@magnapubs.com)

Publisher: David Burns
(dburns@magnapubs.com)

Managing Editor: Christopher Hill
(chill@magnapubs.com)

Creative Services Manager: Mark Manghera

Art Director: Debra Lovelien

Customer Service Manager: Mark Beyer

Editorial Advisory Board: Stephen Donahue, M.S., G-Learner Corp.; Stephen Ehrmann, Vice President, TLT Group; Donald P. Ely, Associate Director, ERIC Clearinghouse on Information & Technology; Jeffrey Feldberg, Chairman, CEO, Embanet Corporation; Gordon Freedman, Director, Strategies & Alliances, Prometheus; Christine Geith, Director, Program and Business Development, MSU Global, Michigan State University; Chere Gibson, Ph.D., Associate Professor, University of Wisconsin-Madison; Darcy W. Hardy, Ph.D., Assistant Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs/Director, UT Telecampus, The University of Texas System; Joseph Holland, Chair, Department of Hospitality & Tourism, University of Wisconsin-Stout; Marge Jeffers, WTCN Distance Education Network, Fox Valley Technical College; Marina Stock McLissac, Educational Media and Computers, Arizona State University; Karen L. Murphy, Ed.D., Associate Professor, Texas A&M University; Don Olcott, Jr., Ed.D., Executive Director, Division of Extended Programs, Western Oregon University; Christine Olgren, Ph.D., Chair, Distance Teaching and Learning Conference, University of Wisconsin-Madison; Rick Shearer, MA, MBA, Instructional Designer, World Campus, Pennsylvania State University; Karen Vignare, Director of Business Strategy & Development, Rochester Institute of Technology; Linda L. Wolcott, Ph.D., Department of Instructional Technology, Utah State University.

Distance Education Report (ISSN 1094-320X) is published semi-monthly by Magna Publications Inc., 2718 Dryden Drive, Madison, WI 53704. Phone 800-433-0499 or 608-246-3590. Email: custserv@magnapubs.com. Fax: 608-246-3597. Website: www.magnapubs.com. One-year subscription: \$419 (Multiple print subscriptions and Group Online Subscriptions are available.) Photocopying or other reproduction in whole or in part without written permission is prohibited. POSTMASTER: Send change of address to *Distance Education Report*, 2718 Dryden Drive, Madison, WI 53704. Copyright ©2009, Magna Publications Inc.

To order back issues (\$25 each) or for more information about multiple print subscription discounts and Group Online Subscriptions, call Customer Service at 800-433-0499.

Submissions to *Distance Education Report* are welcome. Please review article submission guidelines located at www.magnapubs.com/aboutus/authorguidelines.html

Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use of specific clients is granted by Distance Education Report for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) Transactional Reporting Service, provided that \$1.00 per page is paid directly to CCC, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923; Phone 978-750-8400; www.copyright.com. For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license by CCC, a separate system of payment has been arranged.

Cover...from page 1

What do they want to fund?

Where the two populations did differ was in their interests in giving.

Students who took most of their classes off-campus had a lower level of interest in giving to support such functions as:

- scholarships for on-campus, traditional-age students
- students studying in the alumni's field of study
- funding for new faculty to teach on campus
- new buildings or renovations to existing buildings on campus in the alumnus's area of study
- funding for on-campus research
- annual fund and unrestricted giving.

Non-traditional alumni did not differ significantly from traditional alumni in the priority they gave to:

- scholarships for non-traditional age students
- scholarships for students from the area where the alumni live
- funding for new faculty to teach off campus in the alumnus's area
- faculty for a new building or renovations to an existing building in the

alumnus's town to make education more available

- funding to support field research in the alumnus's town
- funding for academic programs of interest to the alumnus
- funding to support athletics
- contributions to the alumni association.

What does this mean for fundraisers?

This research has some interesting implications for fundraising professionals who work with non-traditional alumni. The most interesting findings are the lack of significant difference between traditional and non-traditional alumni in the amount or frequency of giving, combined with the decreased interest among non-traditional alumni in giving to on-campus initiatives.

The combination of these two factors may indicate that non-traditional alumni are more interested in giving to campus-wide initiatives and specific appeals. They may also be interested in funding initiatives that traditional, on-campus alumni are less interested in funding.

"Everyone needs to know that the non-traditional alumnus is just as

continued on page 7 →

Common Questions

DER asked Fred Hurst, "What advice do you have for institutions who may only be beginning to develop their fundraising efforts?"

"The new philosophy of fundraising is to find someone who has a connection with the university to [serve as] fundraiser," says Hurst. "The [most] effective way of getting to a donor is to find someone with the ability to give, then cultivate that person."

Hurst evokes a quote to the effect that it takes just as much energy to raise \$50,000 as \$50 million. The lesson is to spend more energy on the larger donors that may make the biggest difference.

This is not to neglect the power of the alumni annual fund, the typical recipient of the donations from smaller donors. Hurst suggests that universities think of this fund as one whose purpose is to cultivate future donors rather than one designed to accumulate a large amount of money out of smaller donations.

The Second Revolution in Education is Here: Consequences and Implications for Educators



By Gary W. Matkin,
Ph.D.

In this issue, Distance Education Report is pleased to welcome a new contributor, Dr. Gary W. Matkin, dean of continuing education at the University of California, Irvine. Dr. Matkin is also Principal Investigator for grants funded by the Hewlett and Boeing Foundations dedicated to creating and promoting Open Courseware. Prior to becoming dean of continuing education at UC, Irvine, Matkin was associate dean of University Extension at the University of California, Berkeley. From his uniquely informed perspective, Dr. Matkin will offer a broad, and occasionally controversial view of the causes and effects of current issues in distance education—along with practical advice for the overwhelmed administrator.

Western tradition traces formal higher education back to Socrates, whose methods were based on spoken exchanges between the teacher and the student, or between student and student. Plato's dialogues, in which Socrates is a character, illustrate the method. We realize that Socrates was illiterate – he could not read or write. We know of his teachings only because Plato wrote them down, an action that Socrates criticized as destructive to the learning process, because it eliminated the spontaneity of exchanges between minds. Thus Socrates, the founder of formal education, also became the first critic of the introduction of technology into the teaching and learning process.

The pattern of traditional education has always been challenged by technology, and the reaction of traditionalists against new technology has been a recurrent theme in education.

However, there have been only two technological advances that have had a truly revolutionary impact on education.

The First Revolution

The first revolution was created by the printed word, with the invention of movable type and the Gutenberg printing press around 1439. Curiously, between the invention of the Gutenberg press and 1994, when online and technology-assisted education began to catch on, technology had no significant effect on higher distance education, despite tremendous advances. There was radio, and later television, but today few would consider either of these technologies particularly important in the general history of higher education in the U.S.

The Second Revolution

The second revolution was created by the digitization of words and images — a revolution that most of us have experienced from its beginnings.

What evidence is there for thinking that Internet technology has prompted a true revolution, and only the second one in education?

First, the printed book completely permeated higher education, as the Internet is doing today. The printed book significantly altered the day-to-day behavior of faculty and students. One does not have to go far to see the second revolution in action today. Look at the prominence of Google searches by undergraduates in research papers, or the proliferation of laptops in library study rooms and lecture halls. The Internet technological revolution actually started by supporting classroom-based residential instruction. However, totally online distance

education soon followed. The widespread adoption by U.S. universities, including mainstream universities, of distance education conducted entirely online is documented each year in the Sloan C report.

Consequences and Implications

To survive the revolution, distance educators must exhibit all of the entrepreneurial and organizational skills, flexibility, and pragmatism that have characterized distance education throughout its history. They should be aware of, track, and respond to the consequences of the second revolution. Among these consequences:

1. Pockets of faculty resistance

Despite the widespread use of new technology, there remains in most institutions, pockets of deep concern over the changes to the traditional practices that the revolution is evoking. Of course, the introduction of new technologies always raises a hew and cry from traditional-bound educators whose concern about “quality” in education is often guided by an element of self-interest – it is hard to change and to discover advantages of new technologies that one doesn't understand and hasn't mastered. Resistance surfaces in the form of academic senate policies or collective bargaining agreements that seek to limit the use of new technologies. Distance educators need to identify this and work steadily to overcome the resistance.

2. Diffuse, uncontrolled adoption

As new technologies become available, early adopters will begin using them and will become strong

continued on page 7 ———→

Managing Expectations and Working with Difficult Students Online

By Susan Ko, PhD

Whether a course is delivered in a traditional face-to-face format or online, managing student expectations is an essential element affecting success and satisfaction for both students and instructor. In online classes, managing student expectations takes on even more importance as the complexities of running a class are compounded by issues related to teaching and learning at a distance. Many problems can be prevented by careful preparation of registration and course materials. It's also best to be aware that sometimes the "difficult" student is merely one who is confused by ambiguous signals and directions from the instructor or bewildered by a poorly organized online class!

Spell it out

Online, the instructor's role needs to be clarified in regard to what students can expect in the areas of teaching, facilitation and feedback. For example, does the instructor intend to post formal lecture material or provide commentary as she interacts with students in the discussion area? And how often will the instructor access the classroom—everyday, four times weekly, or every day except Sunday?

Directions about the use of communication channels and protocols are crucial in the absence of all or most face-to-face meetings. For example, will the instructor hold "office hours" via real-time chat by appointment only or is she readily available via instant message a good part of every day?

Assessment of participation needs to be spelled out rather than assumed. For example, what is expected of students in forming an "adequate" response to a discussion question and how are they expected to interact with their peers?

How to get expectations across

• **Start at the beginning** Before a class starts, expectations for online students can best be embedded in registration materials, student orientations, online classroom demos, or the posting of course descriptions and class syllabi. If an institution does not supply orientation materials, then the instructor is wise to take this upon herself in the days leading up to the course start

No matter how well organized and how superbly you communicate, it may be that you will encounter a student with whom it is difficult to work.

date. Not only should the syllabus be available to students when they log in—email messages should be sent to welcome and orient students as soon as possible before the first day of class.

• **Use the syllabus** The online syllabus is a major tool to orient students to the expectations for performance on the part of both students and their instructor. The online syllabus, as described in my book, *Teaching Online: A Practical Guide*) can best be thought of in its threefold aspect—as contract, as map and as schedule. As contract, the syllabus establishes the responsibilities of students, grading criteria, and policies. As map, it explains how and where each aspect of the course will occur, and lays out the sequence of course activities. As schedule, it provides a detailed guide to the week-by-week readings, activities, due dates, and other details of the course.

While the syllabus should be able to serve as an inclusive reference

document for the course, supporting documents can spell out more detailed instructions as needed.

• **Forms of address** From the very first day, the instructor is setting expectations through his or her way of addressing students—is the text announcement or the audio clip welcome message formal or informal in nature? (Call me Professor X or just Joe?) How does the instructor facilitate the first conversations in the discussion area? Does he just post a message and ask students to post in turn, never returning to repost or comment?

• **Assignments: Clarity rules** In regard to specific assignments, logically ordered instructions along with simple rubrics can clarify the necessary steps and illuminate the criteria for successfully completing an assignment. Small details can make life easier for both instructors and students and avoid misunderstandings—when you say that paper is due by noon on Friday, is that US Eastern standard time or Central time? What do you mean when you tell students to use only "scholarly resources"? (They may want to know whether Time magazine and Wikipedia fall into that category.)

When it doesn't work

Nonetheless, no matter how well organized and how superbly you communicate, it may be that you will encounter a student with whom it is difficult to work. Some of these difficulties may not be particularly negative—just hard to know how to handle. For example, a "quiet" student online may present more of a problem than one who is quiet in a traditional classroom and gets credit for atten-

continued on page 6 

Online Education Enrollments Increasing, So Why Not Your Budget?

This is a critical time for you to seize the type of opportunity that only comes along in education every few decades.

By Keith Bourne - CEO/Founder, Adaptive Campus, LLC; he is the former marketing director for Sloan-C

In the past few months, states across the union have cut their funding to public colleges and universities by as much as 24%. For institutions that rely heavily on this funding, this meant the end to most expansion or new initiatives. Yet, higher education is one of the few fields in the U.S. right now that is actually experiencing growth. According to the Sloan Consortium, online education is the fastest growing area, growing by 12.9%, compared to the 1.2% growth rate of overall higher education.

When you experience budget cuts, it is tempting to simply cut activity across the board. But consider that budgets are likely to continue to decrease; this is a trend we have seen for years. At some point, you will either have to shrink with them, possibly out of existence, or you can proactively pursue the best opportunities in the marketplace with the funding that you do have.

Consider online education a solution to your budget problems, rather than yet another cost. And allocate 20-30% of your budget for marketing, as the for-profits do, so that you can achieve the type of growth that will actually make this whole effort worthwhile.

Keep in mind, marketing dollars are not just a cost, they are an investment with an associated return. And the return in the online education field can be high if done correctly.

Hire a consultant

Do not be afraid to hire a profes-

sional to help you establish your marketing effort. The fact that development consultants are hired on a contract basis gives you greater flexibility to allow your efforts to expand and contract with whatever business environment you find yourself in. Professional marketers also bring a new perspective and years of experience in

Marketing dollars are not just a cost, they are an investment with an associated return. And the return in the online education field can be high if done correctly.

avoiding mistakes that may have costs you might not realize.

During the hiring process, make sure the professional is utilizing cost-effective activities appropriate to your budget and market, rather than just spending based on a one-size-fits-all advertising approach.

Last, make sure that they are training you or others on your staff in the process, which provides you with even more flexibility to bring the effort in-house when you have reached that stage.

Whether you have a limited budget or not, there are many ways in which you can improve the return on the marketing dollars you do spend. For example, online advertising is still showing itself to be the most cost effective for online programs. There are also many free tools and programs accessible via the search engine that can help you conduct low-cost marketing research. (Join us on February 24 for a presentation on other cost-effective

techniques. Go to www.magnapubs.com to register).

Four reasons why you should not only resist the urge to cut your marketing budget but actually increase it:

1. Online education is still booming.

Many online programs are seeing the typical increases in enrollments and applications that coincide with the deterioration of the economy. Unlike most companies on Wall Street, that are facing double digit declines in sales, the online education industry is experiencing double digit increases. Apollo Group, parent company of the University of Phoenix, just announced that their year over year enrollments grew by 18% in their last quarter (September-November 2008). This is clearly the time to invest in this field.

2. Do it for the greater good. Perhaps you feel that education should not be a "business" with so much attention to the bottom line. But while it is difficult to predict whether some schools will actually start to go out of business, it does seem certain that if you do not find alternative means for funding, the positive impact you could have had on your community and your ability to achieve your mission as a public institution will diminish. In other words, unless you pay attention to the business side of education and pursue opportunities when they present themselves, you will not be able to attend to the things that matter most.

3. Marketing is cheaper right now, relatively speaking. Most industries are cutting ad budgets because there is

continued on page 6 ———➔

Students...from page 4

dance. If participation through posting in a discussion forum constitutes a major portion of the grade, some way must be found to encourage the “quiet” student in the online classroom.

The disruptive student

Disruptive students, in any teaching and learning environment, are a challenge to manage, but they can be particularly so online. And, it may take longer for an instructor to realize that a student is actually being disruptive online since online communications can be ambiguous and one always wants to give students the benefit of the doubt. In those cases in which a student is openly abusive to the instructor or other students, it is essential for the instructor to immediately refer the issue to administrative authority. But there are many more disruptive students who, if skill-

fully handled by instructors at the start of such behavior, can be forestalled from reaching the extremes.

Posting a code of conduct in the class can certainly help set the tone for the class, but there are a few other general techniques that seem to be effective:

- Assume a tone of formality when handling a problem—formality in online classrooms signifies seriousness and firmness, especially when contrasting with an otherwise more casual instructor tone.
- If the student has made his or her issue publicly known by posting something inappropriate in the class forum, handle the issue by using both private email communications and a public clarification. The latter should not address the disruptive student but the class as a whole by calmly reminding the students about the course requirements, restating objec-

tives or purpose, referring students to resources for solving problems, as the case may require. Meanwhile, a private email to the student can allow for whatever personal communication seems appropriate.

- Do not allow yourself to argue on the level of the student or get too caught up into one student’s drama. Remember that the other students are equally deserving of your attention.

For more information about managing expectations and working with difficult students, see Ko and Rossen, Teaching Online: A Practical Guide, 2nd edition, (Routledge, 2008).

Susan Ko will also present an online seminar with more detailed information on managing expectations and working with difficult students online on March 12, 2009 at noon, CST. For more information or to register, go to www.magnapubs.com.

Marketing...from page 5

less business in their area. Lower demand for marketing channels means a lower cost for those that can still utilize these services. It also means that you are competing with fewer advertisements, which should allow a carefully crafted campaign to have a higher potential to break through the clutter.

4. There is room for price increases.

A common issue faced by institutions is the issue of pricing. Many public institutions actually do not bring in enough money from a student to cover the costs associated with that student. In this scenario, launching new programs that would otherwise have been a good opportunity actually becomes more of a

burden. This is an especially difficult situation if you have no flexibility with pricing.

The time has come for you to start using these massive budget cuts as justification to alter any restrictions you have on pricing. If necessary, launch the online program as a new pilot program with a separate pricing structure from your other programs. This may restrict the sort of funding that can be provided to these programs, but the reality is that there is still a lot of room for price increases compared to what students are paying at other institutions, especially in the for-profit world.

A thorough market assessment is warranted for any program that has not had one in the past couple years. Fred Snow, Vice President of Strategic Initiatives at Compass Knowledge,

comments about market assessment results they have conducted, “We always find that a higher price can be justified. Many institutions are hesitant to raise prices, for fear that this will lower enrollments. But we never find that to be an issue.”

This is a critical time for you to seize the type of opportunity that only comes along in education every few decades. Take advantage of online education while it still is an opportunity, rather than a necessity.

Keith Bourne is the founder and CEO of Adaptive Campus, LLC. He contributes to the Marketing in Education blog at www.marketingineducation.org, which focuses on a variety of marketing-related issues in education. Keith is the former marketing director for Sloan-C.

Birds-Eye...from page 3

advocates for a particular technology without understanding the enterprise-wide implications. Having taken the time and effort to learn a new technology, early adopters resist alternatives. Thus, many institutions support two or more course management systems rather than face a battle with one or more faculty groups. Distance educators should seek to offer a centralized system that considers institutional goals and enterprise-wide service with the understanding that, with patience, a rational, considered, and cost-effective approach will win the day and gain credibility for their organizations.

3. Staying abreast of research (or, technology isn't everything)

Advances in new technologies have led educators to reconsider the learning process. Now, however, learning is being reconsidered independent of technology, particularly in younger students. Long the domain of cognitive scientists and recorded in academic journals rarely considered by educators, research in teaching and learning is rapidly shifting into application and experimental trial. Distance educators need to stay abreast of this research and its application and to incorporate research findings into their course design and delivery practices.

4. Concern over distance learning standards

Most resistance to online learning technologies will come as attacks on the quality of the teaching and learning process, especially as compared to traditional classroom instruction. It is therefore important that distance educators adopt strong institutional standards that define quality in both the traditional measure of educational quality and in the delivery methods being employed. These standards should be tied to accreditation standards, published, and where possible, put forward for institutional adoption. Distance educators should stay "ahead of the curve" of this issue, preempting the inevitable criticisms that will come.

5. The importance of doing something.

Take some practical actions to symbolize and support your role.

- Become a clearinghouse for information and the use of new technology. Create forums for discussion.
- Create and maintain an institutional inventory of new instructional technologies and the faculty members who are using them.
- Develop a process by which new technologies can be evaluated, tested, and adopted (or replicated.) The process should be supported by stated criteria of evaluation.

- Pay attention to distance learning standards—adopt them, publish them, defend them.
- Stay current with learning research and its experimental application.

Whatever barriers exist to the implementation of new, effective instructional technology are destined to be swept away by the "technological imperative." The historical context demonstrates that effective technologies will win out over tradition, particularly in the U.S. where pragmatism and market forces are much more powerful than in other countries. The second revolution challenges distance educators as never before, but also presents wonderful opportunities for new institutional roles and service. But it is increasingly clear that the second revolution has succeeded, and is producing many of the benefits it has promised (and there are many more to come), with few of the negatives (though definitely a few) that its detractors have predicted.

This is my first opinion column for the Distance Education Report and expresses my understanding of a significant change in higher education and an opinion about its implications. In subsequent columns I'll strive to be concise, historical, and sometimes, controversial, in the hopes of sparking dialogue with my readers. Please feel free to send me your reactions at gmatkin@uci.edu. ●

Cover...from page 2

willing to give to the institution," says Hurst. The key, he says, is "keeping in mind the interests of the non-traditional alumnus. It points to using different language, [emphasizing] general institutional goals, not on-campus goals."

Hurst suggests that his research might lay the foundation for other

investigations. He urges other researchers to replicate the research to confirm his findings, then extend them. He sees a need for a multi-institutional study comparing the giving habits of alumni across 10 to 20 institutions, and additional research comparing public and private institutions.

Institutions should also conduct their own internal studies tracking the

effectiveness of new language and approaches in addressing their non-traditional alumni and getting them involved in campaigns targeted specifically to their interests.

With non-traditional alumni, the desire to give is there; universities just need to find ways to channel the interest. ●

Using Surveys to Improve Courses, Programs, and Instruction, Part 4

By Patti Shank, PhD, CPT

This month, I'll discuss some special considerations to take when using email to collect survey data.

Advantages and disadvantages of email surveys

The biggest advantage of using email to deliver surveys is that the process is very simple. It only relies on the questions you develop and the recipients' ability to send and receive email. Almost everyone taking an online course has email, and email surveys can be delivered very quickly and at little or no cost.

Remember though, ease of distribution can—and too often does—lead to reduced planning and thought about design and implementation of surveys. Furthermore, reduced planning and thought may lead to data that is of little value.

It's easy to send your students an email survey, but it's also easy for them to overlook it. If you're using an email program such as Outlook, you know how easy it is to “lose” emails in a full inbox: out of sight, out of mind. Because most students, like the rest of us, get tons of email, it's also easy for them to skip over the email if they don't perceive it to be important as they're examining their inbox.

Email that you send to students can end up in spam filters by mistake, so some of your students might never receive the survey you send. If you send email to a student email account (such as *student.name@educational_institution.edu*) at the end of the semester, students may not be reading email in that account until the next semester starts. You may, therefore, need to send post-semester surveys to each student's “normal” (home or work) email address.

If you use HTML forms in your

email survey (so you can format the survey as you desire plus include radio buttons, checkboxes, text boxes, and so on), students who haven't set up their email to receive HTML emails may see an unformatted mess. Using HTML forms inside email is risky.

All of these disadvantages may be enough to sway you from using email as a survey delivery medium. But the biggest disadvantage, in my view, is that email surveys are almost always very simplistic because they most likely will not contain any logic.

Survey logic allows you to input “go here if...” rules into your surveys. So if you ask questions about the extra credit assignment options you provided, for example, and the respondent didn't use any of the extra credit options, the survey will skip over any remaining questions about the extra credit options and take him or her to the next applicable question.

You can write logic into the text of your email survey questions, as the following example shows:

8. Did you make use of the extra credit assignment options?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No (skip to question 10)

But adding logic to the question (8b) makes the survey question more confusing and time consuming. Some who select answer “b” will answer question 9 anyway, and that information will be confusing when you analyze responses. (I am dealing with this problem, personally, right now.) The more logic you add in this manner, the more confusion is likely to occur. In Web-based surveys, this logic is typically programmed in behind the scenes so the questions that the respondent sees are automatically based on answers to previous questions and the respondent doesn't have to figure

out where to go next.

One last, but critical, disadvantage to using email surveys is that respondents may or may not feel that their answers are anonymous, especially if respondents are emailing their answers directly back to you. If the responses are not anonymous, you are very likely to get either no response or responses with less-than-honest information.

Making email surveys work better

Start your email survey with a description of the rationale for the survey and a short explanation of how you have used the data in the past to improve your course and instruction. Keep the survey short, and write clear questions (see previous articles for important tips).

Do everything you can to provide anonymity, and explain in the survey directions how this will be achieved. This is especially true if you are sending surveys while the semester is in progress in order to improve the course and instruction right now (a good idea). Students can send their answers to a third party, such as a graduate assistant or office administrator, who can strip identifying information and give you the data only. One faculty member I know asks her students to use remailers, which allow people to send email anonymously. Google “anonymous email” or “email remailer” to find out more about these services. Some are portals for sending spam, so check them out carefully before using.

Patti Shank, PhD, CPT, is a widely recognized information and instructional designer and writer and author, who helps others build valuable information and instruction. She can be reached through her website: www.learningpeaks.com. ●


Teaching Online

A Practical Guide

Second Edition

Susan Ko & Steve Rossen

**20%
Special
Discount!**



Now available from Routledge, the Second Edition of **Teaching Online: A Practical Guide** is written by authors who have taught online themselves and have trained thousands of faculty to successfully teach online.

This best selling and practical guide is a must-have resource for anyone teaching online. It is also the perfect resource for instructors supplementing a traditional classroom with online elements.

A leader in the online field, this best-selling professional resource offers a reader-friendly tone and offers exceptional practical advice for teaching online.

**August 2008 (originally
published 2004)**

252 pp; 50 illus.

PB: 978-0-415-99690-7

~~US \$46.95~~

US \$37.56

Contents:

Part I. Getting Started

1. Teaching Online: An Overview
2. Scouting the Territory: Exploring Your Institution's Resources

Part II. Putting the Course Together

3. Course Conversion
4. Creating an Effective Online Syllabus
5. Building an Online Classroom
6. Student Activities in the Online Environment
7. Creating Courseware: When Low-Tech is High-Tech Enough
8. Copyright and Intellectual Property

Part III. Teaching in the Online Classroom

9. Preparing Students for Online Learning
10. Classroom Management: General Considerations
11. Classroom Management: Special Issues
12. Integrating Online Elements in a Traditional Classroom

Part IV. New Trends and Opportunities

13. Taking Advantage of New Opportunities
14. Where Do We Go from Here?

Order Your Copy Today!!



Routledge
Taylor & Francis Group

For ordering information outside of North or South America,
please call +44 (0) 1235 400524 or fax +44 (0) 2070 176699

ORDER FORM

Name _____
Institution _____
Address _____

Telephone _____
E-Mail _____

To order in the US, Canada, & Latin America:

Taylor & Francis Books Inc.
7625 Empire Drive
Florence, KY 41042



Toll Free: 800-634-7064
International: 561-361-6000 x 6418
Fax Toll Free: 800-248-4724
Fax International: 561-361-6075
orders@taylorandfrancis.com
www.routledge.com

***Sales Tax:**

Residents of AZ, CA, CO, CT, FL, GA, IL, IN, KY, MA, MD, ME, MO, NJ, NY, PA, TN, TX, UT, VA please add local sales tax. Canadian Residents please add 5% GST.

****Shipping and Handling**

US: \$5.99 first book, \$1.99 each additional book
Canada
Ground: \$7.99 first, \$1.99 additional
Expedited: \$15.99 first, \$1.99 additional
Latin America
Airmail: \$44.00 first, \$7.00 additional
Surface: \$17.00 first, \$2.99 additional

EXAMINATION COPIES

Taylor & Francis offers a 60-day approval period to academics. The book will be accompanied by an invoice dated 90 days from the time we process your order. If you adopt 10 or more copies of the title for your course, the examination copy is yours free. Return the invoice with the course information and purchase order number provided by your bookstore. If you wish to keep the book, but do not wish to adopt it, please pay the amount shown on the invoice, or return the book to us and the invoice will be canceled. To receive an examination copy please send your request to the following address: Taylor & Francis Customer Service Group, Att:SG, 7625 Empire Drive, Florence, KY 41042. Please allow up to four weeks for delivery.

**We request all individual orders be pre-paid.
Please include shipping charges and taxes, if applicable.
Please pay in US dollars by check or credit card.**

Check VISA American Express Master Card

Account # _____

Expiration date: _____

Signature: _____

Order invalid without signature



Prices are subject to change without notice.

TITLE	ISBN	QTY	PRICE

Web orders over \$35 receive FREE shipping in US and Canada	*Sales Tax	
	**Shipping	
	TOTAL	

For online ordering and book information, please visit our website at <http://www.routledge.com>

If you do not wish to receive emails from Routledge with details of new and forthcoming titles, special offers or other relevant marketing materials, please check the box.